




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>G06F 17/60</b>	<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 99/01833</b> (43) International Publication Date: 14 January 1999 (14.01.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/12790 (22) International Filing Date: 19 June 1998 (19.06.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/888,024            3 July 1997 (03.07.97)            US (71) Applicant: AT & T CORP. [US/US]; 32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2412 (US). (72) Inventors: EZAWA, Kazuo, J.; 10 Union Road, Clinton, NJ 08809 (US). NAPIORKOWSKI, Gregory; 866 Tice Place, Westfield, NJ 07090 (US). (74) Agents: DWORETSKY, Samuel, H. et al.; AT & T Corp., P.O. Box 4110, Middletown, NJ 07748 (US).	(81) Designated States: AU, CA, CN, JP, KR, MX, NZ, European patent (CH, DE, FR, GB, PT).  Published With international search report.	

(54) Title: MICRO-DYNAMIC SIMULATION OF ELECTRONIC CASH TRANSACTIONS



## (57) Abstract

A technique for representing behavioral patterns indicating fraudulent electronic cash transactions through micro-dynamic simulation of an electronic cash transaction system implementable using smart cards with embedded microcomputers. The electronic cash transaction system is stochastically modeled by four categories of agents corresponding to the participants in an electronic cash transaction economy. Some categories of agents are further subdivided into segments that have different characteristics, including the circle of other agents with whom they regularly conduct electronic cash transactions. Agents with counterfeit or fraudulent smart cards may form a segment in the simulation to allow the effects of the counterfeit or fraudulent electronic cash transactions in the complete system to be observed.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

MICRO-DYNAMIC SIMULATION OF ELECTRONIC CASH  
TRANSACTIONS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5

The invention relates generally to computerized simulation of electronic cash transactions. More particularly, the invention relates to representation of fraudulent behavioral patterns by micro-dynamic simulation of electronic cash transactions using smart cards.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In contemporary society, the majority of commercial transactions are conducted using currency, credit cards or debit cards (used at automatic teller machines and retail outlets). However, a new medium of exchange is being developed, one that combines the advantages of currency (readily available in easily quantified and verifiable amounts for transactions between individuals without requiring a network intermediary) and credit or debit cards (a compact plastic card that is durable and easily transported).

This new medium of exchange is a "smart card."

A smart card is a device, typically the size and shape of a standard plastic credit card, which contains an embedded microcomputer including a microprocessor and associated memory. The smart card also includes an interface between the smart card's embedded microcomputer and the outside world. This interface typically provides for the bidirectional communication of electronic signals to and from the smart card and may consist, for example, of metallic contacts configured to interface with a specially designed connector. to date, smart cards have been used for a number of different applications. For example,

smart cards are used for identification purposes. In this application, the identification number of an individual is recorded in the memory of the smart card. This identification number may be then used to control access to a restricted area or to regulate the distribution of supplies or books. With respect to restricting access, the user would place the smart card in a smart card reader attached to a door. The smart card reader would determine whether the user should be allowed in to the restricted area based on the user's identification number, the time and data and security levels encoded in the smart card. The smart card can be readily modified in accordance with changes in the user's job functions or responsibilities. Moreover, the user can be required to enter a personal identification number which is compared with the personal identification number stored in the smart card to verify that the authorized user has inserted the smart card.

Smart cards are also currently being used as telephone calling cards. In this application, the memory of the smart card records the number of calling units or money associated with the smart card and deducts a portion based on the phone call. For example, a smart card could be initialized with an amount of ten dollars. A user places a telephone call by inserting the smart card into a telephone equipped with a smart card interface. The smart card interface in the telephone would first read the smart card to determine how much money or time is on the card and then deduct money or time from the smart card during the telephone call. British Telecom is presently using a similar smart card system in the United Kingdom. The portability and convenience of smart cards has generated significant interest in their potential use for financial transactions. A smart card can be programmed to "contain" a specified amount

of money and this monetary balance can be readily incremented (reflecting a "deposit" of money into the smart card) or decremented (reflecting a "withdrawal" of money from the smart card) by altering values in the embedded microcomputer memory. For example, the monetary balance recorded in the smart card memory would be decremented when the smart card user paid for a purchase using the smart card. A separate smart card or similar device would have its memory incremented by the same amount, thus reflecting a transfer of money from one smart card to another.

Using smart cards for financial transactions offers numerous benefits to all participants in the economy, including consumers, retailers and banks. However, there are also risks associated with the use of smart cards for financial transactions. In particular, smart cards offer opportunities for fraud.

For example, counterfeit cards could be produced or legitimate cards could be altered to fraudulently increase the amount of money associated with the card.

Numerous strategies and techniques are being developed to reduce the possibility of fraud with smart cards. For example, it has been suggested that the information on the smart card should be encrypted to prevent unauthorized access to the data (i.e., monetary balance) stored in the smart card memory. By adequately encrypting the data stored on the smart card, the opportunities for fraudulent "deposits" to the smart card will likely be limited. In theory, a device which is merely capable of accessing the memory of the smart card would be unable to alter the monetary balance stored in the smart card. Rather, only an authorized device capable of performing the necessary decryption and encryption would be able to modify the memory of the smart card without scrambling the data and rendering the card inoperable. Thus, a computer hacker would be deterred from altering the

value stored in smart cards by making fraudulent "deposits" that would be analogous to printing counterfeit currency

Encryption is not the only defense against  
5 fraudulent transactions being considered by smart card manufacturers. It is believed that fraudulent use of smart cards, like credit cards and telephone calling cards, will frequently result in consistent and identifiable series of transactions. It has been  
10 determined that fraudulent use of credit cards and telephone calling cards may follow a predictable and known pattern. For example, a series of high value purchases on a credit card or several long distance telephone calls to certain nations on a telephone  
15 calling card are typically associated with theft of the card and subsequent unauthorized activities. When this type of pattern is detected with respect to credit cards and telephone calling cards, the card issuer frequently verifies the identity of the card  
20 user or suspends use of the card pending confirmation of non-fraudulent use.

Credit and telephone calling card issuers have significantly reduced the amount of fraud associated with these cards using software that detects  
25 fraudulent behavior patterns and initiates the appropriate response. However, this technique requires interaction with a continuously monitored network for maximum effectiveness. Absent such monitoring and interaction, the fraudulent behavior  
30 pattern cannot be readily detected. Moreover, a significant body of historical data had to be generated, collated and analyzed in order to identify the fraudulent behavior patterns. It is believed that the detection of fraudulent transaction patterns will  
35 enable smart card issuers to reduce fraud by monitoring network activity once an adequate body of historical data exists, i.e., once enough fraud has

occurred to allow smart card issuers to identify patterns indicating fraudulent transactions. Of course, smart card issuers may extrapolate from existing data relating to credit cards and telephone  
5 calling cards, but the significant distinctions between the cards and probable differences in types of fraudulent behavior limit the effectiveness of this technique. Nevertheless, as long as smart card transactions take place over a network, monitoring  
10 network transactions to detect fraudulent transactions is a potential technique for reducing fraud.

More importantly, however, there are smart card technologies being developed that do not require a network to conduct financial transactions between  
15 smart cards. Once such smart card technology is the Mondex system. It is envisioned that transactions between smart cards will be made directly in these new systems, as if each smart card were a "purse" holding money and the money was transferred directly from one  
20 purse to the other, much like dollars are transferred from one person's purse to another person's purse during a private exchange of money as in a personal loan. One of the more significant differences between these new smart cards and other cards is the ability  
25 to directly transfer assets between cards. In typical smart card systems currently in place, a card is read by a card interface connected to a central network through a modem or a similar device, and asset transfers occur via and under the direct supervision  
30 of the central network. However, there is no network interaction required for a transaction using the new smart card. For example, a retail store would have its own smart card and a retail terminal with a smart card interface for a customer's smart card or,  
35 alternatively, a smart card to smart card interface device known as a "transactor" or "wallet." The transactor has a slot for the store's smart card and a

slot for the purchaser's smart card. A sale would be consummated by inserting the customer's smart card into the transactor (retail terminal or wallet) and keying in the transaction price and confirming the transaction. The customer's smart card would be decremented and the store's smart card would be incremented accordingly, thereby immediately transferring funds from the customer to the store without any direct network supervision or interaction.

10 The smart card can also provide a mechanism for electronic cash transactions over the Internet. A customer's personal computer or terminal equipped with a smart card transactor interface could interact with a similarly equipped system at a web site or at  
15 another computer. A transaction could be consummated over the Internet and the appropriate amounts transferred between smart card. Furthermore, smart card technologies suitable for multiple currencies are being developed. Each "purse" has multiple "pockets"  
20 and each pocket can hold a separate currency. Thus, a single smart card with five pockets could simultaneously represent German Marks, Italian Lire, French Francs, English Pounds and Japanese Yen.

Because every smart card user could have a wallet  
25 or transactor of her own, the transfer of funds between smart cards could be readily accomplished when the smart card users inserted their respective cards into the wallet, keyed in the transaction amount and confirmed the transaction. Clearly, there is no  
30 opportunity for continuous network supervision of such purse to purse transactions conducted via a wallet. Accordingly, the continuous network interaction which plays an essential role in current fraud protection schemes is unavailable and alternative forms of fraud  
35 prevention may be needed.

One alternative form of fraud protection uses on-chip logic (OCL) to control operation of a card and



thereby reduce fraud. On-chip logic is intended to detect fraudulent behavior and then turn off the smart card to prevent additional fraudulent transactions with the smart card. If the smart card has been  
5 turned off by the OCL in error, (i.e., when it is not being used fraudulently), it can be taken to an authorized bank or issuing authority and turned back on. Turning off the smart card obviously reduces the number of possible fraudulent transactions. However,  
10 there is a significant problem in determining what constitutes a fraudulent behavior pattern. Moreover, it is clearly undesirable to turn off a smart card when there has not been any actual fraudulent behavior. This is particularly problematic with  
15 respect to a new technology such as the above described smart card where consumer acceptance is likely to be difficult because of the novelty and lack of experience with this type of transaction. Obviously, consumer acceptance will be adversely  
20 affected by a perception that the consumer's card may be switched off without warning during normal operation.

There is thus a need to represent fraudulent behavior patterns in smart card financial transactions  
25 that are not necessarily subject to continuous network monitoring. Moreover, it is necessary to accurately identify these fraudulent transaction behavior patterns without erroneously identifying a legitimate transaction behavior pattern as fraudulent. Attaining  
30 a satisfactory solution is made more difficult by the need to identify fraudulent behavior patterns before the new type of smart card is widely available. Until electronic cash transactions become a pervasive element of the economy, there will not be a history of  
35 transactions available to smart card issuers from which they can identify fraudulent transaction behavior patterns.

It is therefore necessary to dynamically simulate electronic cash transactions in a way that allows modeling of individual transactions and generation of data indicative of behavioral patterns in a statistically relevant population from which trends indicative of fraudulent or counterfeit transactions may be observed.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION In view of the foregoing, there is a need to accurately represent behavioral patterns indicative of fraudulent electronic cash transactions before the electronic cash transaction system is fully operational and/or a substantial history of transaction data has been developed. This invention provides a novel technique for both representing behavioral patterns indicative of fraudulent electronic cash transactions and evaluating the efficacy of various stratagems for preventing or reducing fraudulent electronic cash transactions.

In order to represent behavioral patterns for behavior that has not yet occurred, it is necessary to first adequately model the behavior. Satisfactory behavioral modeling can be attained using stochastic simulation. However, macroscopic simulation techniques cannot provide sufficiently detailed transaction information to allow assessment of the individualized impact of various anti-counterfeiting and anti-fraud stratagems. Instead, what is required is micro-dynamic simulation, wherein the behavior of each participant is individually modeled both individual and corresponding aggregate data, reflecting the simulated behavior of and interaction between numerous individual participants, is readily available for analysis. Micro-dynamic simulation begins by defining the parameters being modeled. In particular, the "players" or "agents" (i.e., the subjects whose behavior is being modeled) must be

defined. Once the identity of the agents has been determined, the characteristics of each agent must then be defined. Agent characteristics include both individual characteristics, such as the maximum amount  
5 available for electronic cash transactions in each agent's purse, and interaction characteristics, such as the probability of the agent conducting an electronic cash transaction. Once these elements have been defined, the simulation can be conducted in  
10 accordance with provided boundary conditions to generate data for analysis.

Electronic cash transactions using smart cards can be readily modeled using four categories of agents: creators, card issuers, merchants and  
15 consumers. Creators are the ultimate source of electronic cash and may be analogous to a central bank such as the Federal Reserve. There is one creator for each currency in an electronic cash transaction system. The remaining three categories of agents,  
20 corresponding to banks or other card issuers, merchants of goods and services, and individual smart card users, respectively, are further divided into segments wherein the characteristics of each segment within an agent category may differ. As an example,  
25 there could be two segments of card issuers, the first segment corresponding to commercial banks that have no transactions with consumers and the second segment corresponding to full-service banks that have numerous transactions with consumers. Agents such as card  
30 issuers, merchants and consumers may be further defined by their "circle." A circle specifies those agents with whom an agent transacts most often. For a category of agents, a circle can be defined by specifying the minimum and maximum number of agents in  
35 a circle and the probability of a transaction occurring with an agent in the circle. Transaction circles reflect the practice of many participants in a

market economy to repeatedly conduct most of their transactions with the same people or organizations over time.

Once the agents in the simulation have been  
5 satisfactorily defined and the parameters of the simulation have been determined (e.g., number of agents and duration of simulation), then a simulation can be run and data generated. The generated data can be analyzed at both micro (individual) and macro  
10 (aggregate) levels. The micro-dynamic simulator may then be used to represent abnormal (i.e., fraudulent) behavior by introducing behavioral variations and analyzing the effects of these variations. For example, a hypothetical counterfeiting scheme could be  
15 defined and a segment of the population implementing the counterfeiting scheme introduced into the model population. Thus, a counterfeiting segment of the consumer agents would be defined. The effects of the counterfeiting scheme in the entire population being  
20 modeled (i.e., creator, card issuers, merchants and consumers) could be readily observed and a strategy intended to detect (and possibly defeat) this counterfeiting scheme could be designed. In addition, these observations can also be used as input data sets  
25 to train expert systems or other artificial intelligence systems (e.g., neural networks) monitoring transactions to detect fraudulent transactions. Once an anti-counterfeiting strategy was designed, the effectiveness of the strategy could  
30 be readily evaluated by incorporating the anti-counterfeiting strategy into the simulation. This would allow for the advantageous detection of unintended side effects of the anti-counterfeiting scheme, such as having an unacceptably large number of  
35 non-counterfeit transactions impeded or increasing the impact of another simultaneously modeled counterfeiting scheme. Accordingly, the costs and

benefits of the anti-counterfeiting scheme could be readily determined before the anti-counterfeiting scheme was fully implemented.

5    BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a diagrammatic representation of the object structure of a micro-dynamic simulation of an electronic cash transaction economy.

10   FIG. 2 is a flow chart representation of the procedure for micro-dynamic simulation of an electronic cash transaction system.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart representation of the definition procedure for a card issuer agent in a  
15   micro-dynamic simulation of an electronic cash transaction system.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart representation of the definition procedure for a merchant agent in a micro-dynamic simulation of an electronic cash transaction  
20   system. FIG. 5 is a flow chart representation of the definition procedure for a consumer agent in a micro-dynamic simulation of an electronic cash transaction system.

25   DETAILED DESCRIPTION

A novel technique of micro-dynamic simulation of electronic cash transactions and representation of fraudulent electronic cash transaction behavior  
30   patterns is described herein.

A micro-dynamic simulation is a computer simulation wherein a system is modeled by defining the behavior of each agent or active participant in the system. By defining the behavior in terms of  
35   permissible interactions, boundaries, and probabilities, data indicative of real world activities is stochastically generated.

Advantageously, the generated data includes both macro or aggregate statistics and micro or individual statistics. Thus, both systemic value fluctuations and individual transactions are readily observable  
5 using the same data set. The first prerequisite for a micro-dynamic simulation is definition of the system being modeled. In an embodiment of the present invention, the system modeled is an electronic cash transaction system. In particular, it is an  
10 electronic cash transaction system implemented with embedded microcomputer smart cards capable of direct card to card transactions without direct network supervision. There are four basic categories of agents corresponding to the four types of participants  
15 in the electronic cash transaction economy being modeled. Although only four categories of agents are described herein for purposes of clarity, any number of categories of agents could be modeled in accordance with the present invention.

20 Referring to Figure 1, the four categories of agents modeled in an embodiment of the present invention discussed herein are creators, card issuers, merchants, and consumers. The arrows in Figure 1 illustrate the categories of agents with whom each  
25 category of agents conducts electronic cash transactions. In the embodiment shown, there is only one agent in the creator category, creator 10, and this agent only conducts electronic cash transactions with card issuers 20.

30 The creator corresponds to an authorized currency issuing authority such as the Bank of England or the Federal Reserve. In general, a creator only has one purse and only conducts direct transactions with card issuers. However, a model may be created wherein  
35 multiple creators are defined. In that model, it becomes necessary to define the characteristics of inter-creator transactions such as the relative

distribution of transactions amongst the creators. It is not, however, necessary to specify multiple creators if multiple currencies are to be modeled. Multiple foreign currencies may be modeled by defining a foreign currency limit in the creator purse and an exchange rate for each foreign currency. Accordingly, a purse with several "pockets" that each hold a separate currency may be readily modeled with only one creator. The second agent category is card issuers. Referring again to Figure 1, card issuers can generally conduct electronic cash transactions with the creator, merchants, consumers or other card issuers. Card issuers correspond to financial institutions such as banks (e.g., Chase Manhattan Bank) or credit unions (e.g., AT&T Employee Credit Union). Card issuers, unlike creators, may have more than one purse in much the same way as a bank may have a separate safe or main office and branch offices with separately accounted for fund reserves. Card issuers are further subdivided into types. There are three types of card issuers based on the agents with whom they conduct transactions. The first type of card issuer conducts transactions only with merchants. The second type of card issuer conducts transactions only with consumers and the third type of card issuer conducts transactions with merchants and consumers. All types of card issuers conduct transactions with other card issuers and the creator. A further subdivision of card issuers is categorization into segments. For example, one segment might be large commercial banks and a second segment might be small savings and loan banks. By dividing a category of agents into segments with different defined behavior parameters it is possible to more accurately model variations in behavior amongst a single agent category. Such variations include, for example, different purse size limits, or

average frequency and/or size of transactions. In an embodiment of the present invention, the properties of each card issuer segment may include a definition of the characteristics of the merchants and consumers associated with the agents in a particular card issuer segment. In an alternative embodiment, the characteristics of the agents associated with each card issuer are defined independently from their association (or lack thereof) with a particular card issuer segment.

The third agent category is merchants. Referring again to Figure 1, merchants 30 can generally conduct electronic cash transactions with card issuers, consumers or other merchants. Merchants correspond to distributors of goods and services such as wholesalers, retail outlets or garages. Merchants, like card issuers, may have more than one purse in a manner similar to the way a retail outlet may keep separate sales and refund accounts. The merchants category, like the card issuers category, can be further divided into segments.

The fourth agent category is consumers. Referring again to Figure 1, consumers 40 can generally conduct electronic cash transactions with card issuers, merchants, or other consumers. Consumers correspond to the purchasers of goods and services. Consumers, like card issuers and merchants, may also have more than one purse. The consumers category, like the merchants and card issuers categories, can also be further divided into segments.

Each consumer segment may have a particular on chip logic scheme associated with it. For example, an on chip logic scheme wherein the purse was disabled (i.e., the smart card turned itself off) if the consumer attempted to spend over \$1000 in a single day could be defined for a particular segment. The activities of this segment could then be analyzed



after the simulation to determine if the on chip logic scheme resulted in an unacceptably large number of wallets being turned off during the simulation.

Moreover, a counterfeit consumer segment could be defined and the efficacy (or lack thereof) of a particular on chip logic in legitimate purses or smart cards with respect to, for example, reduction in the extent of fraudulent transactions could also be evaluated after completing a simulation. The ability to model several different on chip logic schemes simultaneously (by defining different on chip logic schemes for different segments) advantageously permits analysis of interactions between on chip logic schemes.

Furthermore, on chip logic schemes are not restricted to consumer agents. Merchants can also have on chip logic rules incorporated into specified segments, as can card issuers. The effects of counterfeit merchants may also be modeled through the micro-dynamic simulation of the present invention. The behavior of each merchant or consumer can be further defined in terms of its circle. A circle is comprised of the agents with whom the agent is most likely to conduct electronic cash transactions. A circle reflects a perceived tendency of the real world participants being modeled to conduct the majority of their financial transactions with a relatively limited group of individuals or organizations. For example, a typical person in a market economy such as the United States conducts the majority of her cash transactions with the bank (card issuer) where she has an account and the restaurants (merchants) where she shops typically dines. It is thus intended to more accurately represent an individual's cash transaction activities through micro-dynamic simulation by modeling this tendency using circles.

For a specific category (or sub-category) of

MISSING AT THE TIME OF THE PUBLICATION

In an embodiment illustrated by Figure 2, the characteristics of the creator are defined at step 200. The creator is named and the properties of the creator, including the probability of the creator  
5 dying and the foreign currency limits of the creator, if any, are defined. For an embodiment that includes multiple creators, the distribution of transactions between creators (inter-creator transactions) will also be defined.

10 Referring to Figure 2, the default parameters of circles can be defined as a creator property in step 210. The parameters defined for default circles become properties of merchants and consumers for whom a circle is not defined when their respective segments  
15 are defined. Four circles are defined in terms of the minimum and maximum number of agents in the circle and the probability of any given transaction occurring within the circle.

Referring again to Figure 2, the properties or  
20 characteristics of card issuers are defined in step 300. As shown in greater detail in Figure 3, card issuers are defined according to several properties. Each segment of card issuers is defined individually as shown by steps 320 through 360. This segment  
25 definition process is repeated until all segments have been defined as shown by step 370.

The first step of the card issuer segment definition process is giving the segment a name as shown by step 320. Once the segment has been named,  
30 the card issuer type of the segment is defined as shown by step 330. As noted above, a card issuer can be one of three types, depending on the agents with whom the card issuer conducts transactions: merchant only, consumer only, or merchant and consumer.

35 Referring to step 340, the population characteristics of the card issuer segment are then defined. Population characteristics include the

"birth" rate (the rate at which the number of card issuers increases) and the death rate (the rate at which card issuers drop out of the electronic cash transaction economy being modeled)..

5       Purse characteristics, such as the maximum amount permitted in each card issuers' purse or foreign currency parameters, are defined as shown in step 350.

10       In an embodiment of the present invention, each agent may hold several purses. In such an embodiment, the relative number of purses would be defined in step 350. Additional purse characteristics may also be defined for the card issuers of the segment.

15       Referring to step 360, characteristics and properties of merchants and consumers associated with a particular card issuer segment may be defined as a function of their relationship with the card issuer segment. Ease of use may be enhanced by defining merchant and consumer characteristics as a function of the card issuer segment definition. In that case, 20 properties of the associated agents are defined as part of the card issuers segment definition process. The defined characteristics include the merchant and consumer population parameters such as the initial merchant population, ratio of consumers to merchants 25 and birth and death rates of the consumer and merchant agents. The defined characteristics may further encompass the on chip logic in the consumer (and/or merchant) purses and the distribution of assets in consumer and merchant purses.

30       Once a card issuer segment has been completely defined, a determination is made as to whether more card issuer segments need to be defined as shown by step 370. If so, then the card issuer segment definition process is repeated. If not, then card 35 issuer definition is ended as shown by step 380.

Referring again to Figure 2, the properties or characteristics of merchants are defined in step 400

which is illustrated in greater detail in Figure 4. Referring to Figure 4, the first step of the merchant segment definition process is giving the segment a name as shown by step 420. Once the segment has been  
5 named, the circle parameters for each merchant circle are defined as shown by step 430. The merchant's circles includes a circle with other merchants and a circle with consumers. The parameters of these circles are the boundary limits on the number of  
10 agents in a circle, the probability of a given transaction taking place within the circle and how many agents from which segments form the circle.

Referring to step 440 of Figure 4, the population characteristics, such as birth and death rates for the  
15 merchant segment, are then defined. Additional characteristics may include stochastic parameters of newly created merchants.

Referring now to step 450 of Figure 4, the limits and characteristics of the merchant purses, such as  
20 the maximum amount permitted in each merchant's purse or foreign currency parameters, are defined. Additional characteristics that may be defined during this step may include, for example, the number and kinds of purses held by an existing merchant and a  
25 newly created merchant and how long each purse is considered valid.

Once a merchant segment has been completely defined, a determination is made as to whether more merchant segments need to be defined as shown by step  
30 460. If so, the merchant segment definition process is repeated. If not, then merchant definition is ended as shown by step 470.

Referring again to Figure 2, the properties or characteristics of consumers are defined in step 500  
35 which is illustrated in greater detail in Figure 5. Referring to Figure 5, the first step of the consumer segment definition process is giving the segment a

name as shown in step 520. Once the segment has been named, the merchant circle and the consumer circle parameters are defined. As shown by step 530, the merchant circle parameters, such as the possible size of the circle, the probability of a given transaction taking place within the circle, and the proportion of merchants from each merchant segment are defined. As shown by step 540, the consumer's circle with other consumers is separately defined. The consumer circle parameters are, for example, the possible size of the circle, the probability of a given transaction taking place within the circle, and the proportion of consumers from each consumer segment.

Referring to step 550 of Figure 5, the population characteristics, such as birth and death rates for the consumer segment, are then defined.

Referring to step 560 of Figure 5, the limits and characteristics of the consumer purses and the consumer transactions must be defined. The purse characteristics may include, for example, how many and what kinds of purses each consumer has. The transaction characteristics may include the frequency of transactions, the amount of transactions, and the kinds of transactions (e.g., deposits, withdrawals, consumer with consumer, consumer with merchant, etc.).

Transaction likelihood may be defined with respect to each hour in a week (i.e., three o'clock on a Sunday morning has different transaction probabilities and characteristics than four o'clock on a Tuesday afternoon) or more coarsely with respect to day of the week.

In addition, the on chip logic, if any, for the consumers' purses may be defined. By defining the on chip logic as a function of the consumer segment, it is possible to simultaneously simulate and observe interaction between several on chip logic schemes. It is also possible to evaluate different on chip logic

schemes for different ranges of smart cards, in much the same way as different counterfeit detection schemes may be used for credit cards with varying credit limits.

5       Once a consumer segment has been completely defined, a determination is made as to whether more consumer segments need to be defined as shown by step 570. If so, then the consumer segment definition process is repeated. If not, then consumer definition  
10 is ended as shown by step 580.

Referring again to Figure 2, the micro-dynamic simulation is run and data made available for analysis in step 600. The exact characteristics of each individual agent being modeled may be determined when  
15 the agent is created or the first time the agent conducts a transaction. These characteristics are determined probabilistically from the characteristics for that particular agent as defined with respect to the agent's category and segment.

20       The generated data may be presented numerically in the form of transaction tables or graphically. Both individual data relating to each agent's transactions and aggregate data relating to, for example, distribution of electronic cash or population  
25 growth may be presented. In particular, graphs illustrating the number of purses disabled (counterfeit and non-counterfeit) or the distribution of counterfeit or fraudulent transactions in the entire system being modeled may be generated to allow  
30 for quick visual analysis of the observable effects of a counterfeiting scheme or the efficacy of anti-counterfeiting on chip logic.

The generated data may also be formatted as input data for machine learning software. The format of the  
35 generated data could be, for example, comma delimited fields. The specific format would be dependent on the requirements of the particular machine learning

software to which the generated data would be input.

Although various embodiments are specifically illustrated and described herein, it will be appreciated that modifications and variations of the present invention are covered by the above teachings and within the purview of the appended claims without departing from the spirit and intended scope of the invention. For example, micro-dynamic simulation may be applied to an Internet based electronic cash transaction system in order to represent fraudulent transaction patterns in that environment. Alternatively, any type of transaction may be modeled in accordance with the principles of the present invention.



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

SUBSTITUTE SHEET (RULE 26)

What is claimed is:

1. A method of modeling an electronic cash .  
transaction system by computerized simulation  
5 comprising the steps of:  
    defining the simulation parameters;  
    defining the characteristics of at least one  
    creator agent of the electronic cash  
    transaction system;  
10 defining the characteristics of smart card issuer  
    agents of the electronic cash transaction  
    system;  
    defining the characteristics of merchant agents  
    of the electronic cash transaction system;  
15 defining the characteristics of consumer agents  
    of the electronic cash transaction system; and  
    running a computer simulation in accordance with  
    said parameters and said characteristics and  
    thereby generating data indicative of  
20 electronic cash transactions conducted by said  
    agents.
2. The method of modeling an electronic cash  
transaction system by computerized simulation of claim  
25 1 further comprising the step of defining circles  
    indicative of the agents with which an agent conducts  
    the majority of said agent's electronic cash  
    transactions.
- 30 3. The method of modeling an electronic cash  
transaction system by computerized simulation of claim  
2 wherein said step of defining circles comprises the  
steps of:  
    defining the maximum possible number of agents  
35 within said agent's circle;  
    defining the minimum possible number of agents  
    within said agent's circle; and

defining the probability of a transaction by said agent being conducted with an agent in said agent's circle.

5 4. The method of modeling an electronic cash transaction system by computerized simulation of claim 1 wherein said step of defining the characteristics of card issuer agents of the electronic cash transaction system comprises the steps of:

10 defining the purse characteristics of said card issuer agents;  
defining the categories of agents with which said card issuer agents transact; and  
defining the population characteristics of said  
15 card issuer agents.

5. The method of modeling an electronic cash transaction system by computerized simulation of claim 1 wherein said step of defining the characteristics of  
20 merchant agents of the electronic cash transaction system comprises the steps of:

defining the purse characteristics of said merchant agents;  
defining the categories of agents with which said  
25 merchant agents transact; and defining the population characteristics of said merchant agents.

6. The method of modeling an electronic cash transaction system by computerized simulation of claim  
30 1 wherein said step of defining the characteristics of consumer agents of the electronic cash transaction system comprises the steps of:

defining the purse characteristics of said  
35 consumer agents;  
defining the transaction characteristics of said consumer agents;

defining the categories of agents with which said consumer agents transact; and  
defining the population characteristics of said consumer agents.

5

7. The method of modeling a smart card based electronic cash transaction system by computerized simulation of claim 6 wherein said step of defining the characteristics of consumer agents of the  
10 electronic cash transaction system further comprises a step of defining conditions under which said consumer agent is prohibited from conducting further transactions.

15 8. A method of modeling transactions by stochastic simulation comprising the steps of:

defining a plurality of agents conducting transactions; defining the transaction characteristics for each of said plurality of  
20 agents using probability functions;  
defining a circle of said agents with which each of said plurality of agents has a probability of conducting a transaction provided a transaction is conducted; and  
25 simulating transactions conducted by said plurality of agents in accordance with said defined transaction characteristics.

9. The method of modeling transactions by stochastic  
30 simulation of claim 8 wherein said step of defining a circle of said agents with which each of said plurality of agents has a probability of conducting a transaction when each of said plurality of agents conducts a transaction comprises:

35 defining probabilistically the number of agents inside of the circle;  
defining probabilistically the number of

transactions that are conducted with an agent  
inside of the circle; and  
defining probabilistically the number of  
transactions that are conducted with an agent  
5 outside of the circle.

10. The method of modeling transactions by stochastic  
simulation of claim 8 wherein said step of defining a  
circle of said agents with which each of said  
10 plurality of agents has a probability of conducting a  
transaction when each of said plurality of agents  
conducts a transaction comprises:

defining probabilistically the number of agents  
inside the circle;  
15 defining probabilistically the proportion of  
transactions that are conducted with an agent  
inside the circle; and  
defining probabilistically the proportion of  
transactions that are conducted with agents  
20 that are outside the circle.

11. The method of modeling transactions by stochastic  
simulation of claim 8 wherein said step of defining a  
circle of said agents with which each of said  
25 plurality of agents has a probability of conducting a  
transaction when each of said plurality of agents  
conducts a transaction further comprises:

defining the maximum number of said plurality of  
agents with which each of said plurality of  
30 agents has a probability of conducting a  
transaction when each of said plurality of  
agents conducts a transaction;  
defining the minimum number of said plurality of  
agents with which each of said plurality of  
35 agents has a probability of conducting a  
transaction when each of said plurality of  
agents conducts a transaction; and

defining the probability of a transaction being conducted with a member of said circle of said agents when a transaction is conducted.

12. The method of modeling transactions by stochastic simulation of claim 8 further comprising the steps of:  
5 associating each of said plurality of agents with a category of agents wherein said associated category determines with which of said plurality of agents each of said plurality of agents may conduct transactions; and  
10 segmenting each of said category of agents into at least one segment.

13. The method of modeling transactions by stochastic simulation of claim 12 wherein said step of defining a circle of said agents with which each of said plurality of agents has a probability of conducting a transaction when each of said plurality of agents conducts a transaction further comprises defining the segment with which each of said agents within said circle is associated.

14. A method of providing input data to machine learning software by computerized simulation of an electronic cash transaction system comprising the steps of:  
defining the simulation parameters;  
defining the characteristics of at least one creator agent of the electronic cash transaction system;  
30 defining the characteristics of smart card issuer agents of the electronic cash transaction system;

defining the characteristics of merchant agents  
of the electronic cash transaction system;  
defining the characteristics of consumer agents  
of the electronic cash transaction system; and  
5 running a computer simulation in accordance with  
said parameters and said characteristics and  
thereby generating data indicative of  
electronic cash transactions conducted by said  
agents;  
10 providing the results of said computer simulation  
to machine learning software as input data.

15. The method of providing input data to machine  
learning software by computerized simulation of an  
15 electronic cash transaction system of claim 14 further  
comprising the step of defining circles indicative of  
the agents with which an agent conducts the majority  
of said agent's electronic cash transactions.

20 16. The method of providing input data to machine  
learning software by computerized simulation of an  
electronic cash transaction system of claim 15 wherein  
said step of defining circles comprises the steps of:  
defining the maximum possible number of agents  
25 within said agent's circle;  
defining the minimum possible number of agents  
within said agent's circle; and  
defining the probability of a transaction by said  
agent being conducted with an agent in said  
30 agent's circle.

17. The method of providing input data to machine  
learning software by computerized simulation of an  
electronic cash transaction system of claim 16 wherein  
35 said step of defining the characteristics of card  
issuer agents of the electronic cash transaction  
system comprises the steps of:

defining the purse characteristics of said card issuer agents;  
defining the categories of agents with which said card issuer agents transact; and  
5 defining the population characteristics of said card issuer agents.

18. The method of providing input data to machine learning software by computerized simulation of an  
10 electronic cash transaction system of claim 14 wherein said step of defining the characteristics of merchant agents of the electronic cash transaction system comprises the steps of:

defining the purse characteristics of said  
15 merchant agents;  
defining the categories of agents with which said merchant agents transact; and  
defining the population characteristics of said merchant agents.

20  
19. The method of providing input data to machine learning software by computerized simulation of an electronic cash transaction system of claim 14 wherein said step of defining the characteristics of consumer  
25 agents of the electronic cash transaction system comprises the steps of:

defining the purse characteristics of said consumer agents;  
defining the transaction characteristics of said  
30 consumer agents;  
defining the categories of agents with which said consumer agents transact; and  
defining the population characteristics of said consumer agents.

35  
20. The method of providing input data to machine learning software by computerized simulation of an



electronic cash transaction system of claim 19 wherein  
said step of defining the characteristics of consumer  
agents of the electronic cash transaction system  
further comprises a step of defining conditions under  
5 which said consumer agent is prohibited from  
conducting further transactions.

1/3

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3






FIG. 4



3/3

FIG. 5



A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 6 G06F17/60

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 G06F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	TSVETOVATYY M ET AL: "MAGMA: an agent-based virtual market for electronic commerce" FIRST INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF INTELLIGENT AGENTS AND MULTI-AGENT TECHNOLOGY (PAAM), LONDON, UK, vol. 11, no. 6, 22 - 24 April 1996, pages 501-523, XP002079276 ISSN 0883-9514, Applied Artificial Intelligence, Sept. 1997, Taylor & Francis, USA see abstract see page 503, line 18 - page 504, line 6 see page 505, line 26 - page 509, line 8 see page 512, line 1 - page 515, line 21 ---	1-13
Y	---	14-20
	--- -/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "S" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 October 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

13/10/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Pedersen, N

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
Y	WO 94 06103 A (HNC INC) 17 March 1994 see page 1, line 7 - line 17 see page 7, line 19 - page 9, line 37 ----	14-20
A	THOMPSON P J ET AL: "The design and implementation of the IDIOMS online transaction processing simulator" APPLICATIONS OF TRANSPUTERS 3. PROCEEDINGS OF THE THIRD INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON APPLICATIONS OF TRANSPUTERS, GLASGOW, UK, 28 - 30 August 1991, pages 329-334, XP002079277 Amsterdam, Netherlands, IOS, Netherlands see abstract see page 331, line 23 - page 332, line 25 ----	1-20
A	KETCHAM M G: "Simulating operations in financial service systems" OMEGA, 1991, UK, vol. 19, no. 1, pages 17-30, XP002079278 ISSN 0305-0483 see abstract see page 18, column 2, line 36 - page 19, column 2, line 15 see page 23, column 1, line 16 - line 29 ----	1-20
A	CLASSE A: "Caught in the neural net (Credit card fraud detection)" ACCOUNTANCY, FEB. 1995, UK, vol. 115, no. 1218, pages 58-59, XP002079279 ISSN 0001-4664 see page 58, column 1, line 1 - line 19 see page 58, column 3, line 31 - line 41 ----	1-20
A	RUCKWOOD B: "The potential of the active smartcard for travellers" EPOS/EFTPOS 88 CONFERENCE PAPERS, LONDON, UK, 13-16 SEPT. 1988, page 11 pp. XP002079280 1988, Brighton, UK, Retail Manage. Dev. Programme, UK see page V3, line 8 - line 31 see page V7, line 6 - page V9, line 16 see page V11, line 5 - line 15 -----	1-20

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9406103 A	17-03-1994	AU 4850093 A	29-03-1994
		CA 2144068 A	17-03-1994
		DE 69315356 D	02-01-1998
		DE 69315356 T	18-06-1998
		EP 0669032 A	30-08-1995
		ES 2108880 T	01-01-1998
		JP 8504284 T	07-05-1996
-----			